EXPLANATION OF TENDER DOCUMENTATION

within the meaning of Section 98 (3) of the Act No 134/2016, on public procurement, as amended (hereinafter the "Act")

Name of public contract:

DELIVERY OF STATIONARY CAMERA SYSTEMS AND PROVISION OF RELATED SERVICES

Above-the-threshold public delivery contract, open procedure (hereinafter the "Public Contract")

Reference number: VZ_2020_A46

ID	Question	Answer
1	Among the optional features, the contracting authority requires remote lens rotation and remote zoom adjustment. These functionalities are rated 2*1 point, which roughly corresponds to the price of CZK 1.2 million without VAT, in other words, for an offer without these functionalities to achieve the same rating as an offer with them, it would have to be about CZK 1.2 million cheaper. Due to the purpose of using the requested solution, this requirement is very non-standard, almost counterproductive. If we start from the required concept, where the entire width of the road will be occupied by a group of cameras, then it completely makes no sense to manually rotate one of the cameras or refocus it. As soon as the operator moves or reshares it, it ceases to fulfill its primary purpose, why it was installed, and the Inquirer cannot think of any benefit that the Contracting Authority will obtain by the said action. Can the contracting authority state the reasons why it requires remote shooting of cameras and their refocusing? If the stated requirements are relevant and are based on some specific need of the contracting authority, we request its specific specification, resp. about the specific specification of the required parameters. This means the required range of camera angles (horizontal and vertical)	Explained. The contracting authority has sufficiently substantiated its intentions and refers the participant to the statement which it stated in response to questions No. 18 and 19 in the explanation of the tender documentation No. 3 of 4.5.2020. When asked by the participant, what should be the range of remote shooting of the lens resp., the contracting authority determines that it has not specified any specific requirements for remotely controlled variable focal lengths and leaves it to the supplier to what extent (if at all) it submits its tender. Although the contracting authority agrees with the participant that the range of remote lens rotation +/- 1° and the range of remote zoom adjustment 28-30° will not bring any added value for the contracting authority, but he is sure that the examples given by the participant are unrealistic and no device has such a range; thus, the contracting authority considers the participant's conclusions to be hypothetical and somewhat purposeful. The relationship between the point evaluation of the parameters and the offer price is known to the contracting authority and confrms that it corresponds with his intent.
	cannot think of any benefit that the Contracting Authority will obtain by the said action. Can the contracting authority state the reasons why it requires remote shooting of cameras and their refocusing? If the stated requirements are relevant and are based on some specific need of the contracting authority, we request its specific specification, resp. about the specific specification of the required parameters. This means the required	30° will not bring any added value for contracting authority, but he is sure that examples given by the participant are unrea and no device has such a range; thus, contracting authority considers the particip conclusions to be hypothetical and some purposeful. The relationship between the point evaluation the parameters and the offer price is known to contracting authority and confrms that

not included in the tender

ID	Question	Answer
	documentation and without their clear definition it is not possible to decide whether the offered cameras meet the requirements of the contracting Authority and whether the Tenderer can fill in "1" for parameters C.5 and C.6. The interviewer assumes that the range of rotation of the camera, for example + -1°, does not sufficiently meet the needs of the Client, as well as the zoom range corresponding to the width of the shot of 28-30° does not meet the requirements of the contracting authority.	
2	How will the situation be evaluated, when the car will drive just behind the truck and from the point of view of the camera the license plate of the vehicle will not be visible (when shooting from the front), resp. a car just in front of the truck (when shooting from behind)? Will this be counted as a misrecognition or will such a vehicle not be included in the default set of 100% that should have been recognized?	Explained. The image of the vehicle running in eclipse will not be included in the evaluation of the sample test, see also paragraph 16.11 of the tender documentation, version dated 4.5.2020. The contracting authority states that it will only take pictures of the vehicles from the front.
3	Does the contracting authority require the recognition of the license plate of trailers? If a vehicle travels with a trailer, should the registration number of the towing vehicle or trailer or both be recognized? This question applies to both trucks and cars.	Explained. No, the contracting authority will not use camera systems to read the license plates of trailers, see also the answer to the previous question.
4	What are the requirements for recognizing vehicles attached to a trailer/truck? If a truck with a trailer is driving, one passenger car with registration number is on the truck, the other passenger car with registration number is on the trailer, what is the required recognition output?	Explained. The contracting authority states that it will take pictures of the vehicles only from the front and reasonably assumes that if the vehicle is placed on a trailer, its registration plate is not visible from the front.
5	The contracting authority requires color recognition as an optional feature. Can the contracting Authority specify which colors are to be recognized? Does it provide a color sample book? Or should the list of detected colors correspond to the colors listed in the vehicle's technical documentation? Does the gloss have to be detected within the color? Can the contracting authority give a specific example of how to detect a silver vehicle that has "gray metallic" in the technical documentation?	Explained. The contracting authority is not aware that any available camera technology would allow the detection of colors according to the image sample book, color code or even according to the technical certificate of the vehicle. Also, the contracting authority is not aware that any camera system can distinguish gloss (eg from matte). The contracting authority states that the color specified in the technical certificate for recognizing the color of the vehicle is not authoritative, as the method of entering colors in the technical certificate is governed by no regulation or standard. The visual appearance of the color is decisive. If the silver vehicle is painted "gray metallic", its color can be detected by the camera system as gray or silver and both detections will be considered correct by the contracting authority.

In order for the contracting authority to provide all suppliers with the maximum possible time space
for processing tenders, it extends the deadline for submission of tenders until 29 May 2020 until
12:00 noon.

In Prague on 18 May 2020

Ing. Jan Paroubek in charge of the state enterprise