

## WRITTEN REPORT OF THE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY

in accordance with Section 217 of Act No 134/2016 Coll., on public procurement, as amended (hereinafter the “Act”)

Name of public contract:

### DELIVERY OF STATIONARY CAMERA SYSTEMS AND PROVISION OF RELATED SERVICES

Above-the-threshold public delivery contract,  
open procedure (hereinafter the “Public Contract”)

Reference number: VZ\_2019\_A46

#### 1 BASIC INFORMATION

##### 1.1 Identification of the contracting authority

Name: CENDIS, s. p.

Registered office: nábřeží Ludvíka Svobody 1222/12, 110 15 Praha 1

Company ID No: 00311391

Tax ID No: CZ00311391

Registration: entered in the Commercial Register kept by the Municipal Court in Prague, Section ALX, Insert 706

##### 1.2 Negotiating on behalf of the contracting authority

On behalf of the contracting authority: Ing. Jan Paroubek, in charge of state enterprise management

##### 1.3 Identification of the procurement procedure

Public contract Delivery of stationary camera systems and provision of related services, awarded in an open procedure in accordance with Section 56 et seq. of the Act, initiated on 6 April 2020, Reg. No in the Public Contracts Journal Z2020-011117, reference number on the contracting authority's profile VZ\_2020\_A46.

##### 1.4 Subject-matter of the public contract

The subject-matter of the procurement procedure was the selection of the most economically advantageous tender for the supply of cameras (camera systems) suitable for placement on toll gates, with the ability to recognise at least the vehicle type, licence plate and country of

registration of the vehicle. The price of the delivery also includes the provision of warranty services specified in the tender documentation.

## 2 PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE PARTICIPANTS (TENDERERS)

2.1 The list of tenderers is given in the following table:

Order No	Name of the participant	Company ID No:	Registered office:	Date and time of delivery
1	INEL-Market, spol. s r.o.	25223399	Kollárova 623/42, Jižní Předměstí, 301 00 Plzeň	25 June 2020 15:42:52
2	SPEL, a.s.	00473057	Třídvorská 1402, Kolín V, 280 02 Kolín	29 June 2020 14:35:12
3	Macq NV	402.226.831	Luchtschipstraat, 2 - 1140 Brussels, Kingdom of Belgium	29 June 2020 14:59:36
4	ČD - Telematika a.s.	61459445	Pernerova 2819/2a, 130 00 Praha 3	29 June 2020 15:27:33
5	GEMTECH, s.r.o.	36712868	Kukučínova 494/32, 058 01 Poprad, Slovak Republic	30 June 2020 09:26:11
6	CROSS Zlín, a.s.	60715286	Hasičská 397, Louky, 763 02 Zlín	30 June 2020 10:19:57
7	TollNet a.s.	29055059	Holušická 2221/3, Postal code 148 00 Praha 4	30 June 2020 10:29:10
8	CAMEA Technology, a.s.	06230831	Karásek 2290/1m, Řečkovice, 621 00 Brno	30 June 2020 10:55:43
9	Colsys s.r.o.	14799634	Buštěhradská 109, Postal code 272 03, Kladno-Dubí	30 June 2020 11:30:57

2.2 List of excluded participants

No participant was excluded in the procurement procedure.

## 3 THE PARTICIPANT WITH WHOM THE CONTRACT WAS CONCLUDED

3.1 Designation of the supplier with whom the contract has been concluded (selected contractor):

Order No	Name of the participant	Company ID No:	Registered office:	Date and time of delivery
9	Colsys s.r.o.	14799634	Buštěhradská 109, Postal code 272 03, Kladno-Dubí	30 June 2020 11:30:57

3.2 Price agreed in the contract: 10,680,093 excluding VAT.

3.3 The selected contractor has no subcontractors.

## 4 JUSTIFICATION OF THE DECISION ON THE BEST TENDER

4.1 The submitted tenders were evaluated according to their economic advantage (value for money) in accordance with Article 10 of the tender documentation. The economic advantage of the tenders was evaluated on the basis of the most favourable ratio of the total tender price excluding VAT and the quality of the offered goods, specifically on the basis of the table below:

Criterion	Type	Weight
Total tender price (CZK excl. VAT)	Cost-based (lower is better)	40%
Quality of offered goods (points)	Numeric (higher is better)	60%

- 4.2 In accordance with Article 10 of the tender documentation, the evaluation committee carried out an overall evaluation of the tenders received.
- 4.3 Details are given in the supplier selection notice / notification of selection of the best tender, an integral part of which is formed by the tender evaluation report; all the tenderer received the report.
- 4.4 The condition for concluding a contract with the selected contractor was the successful testing of samples. Testing of the samples submitted by the selected contractor proved the fulfilment of the contracting authority's conditions and the parameters of the subject-matter of the public contract offered by the selected contractor.

## **5 JUSTIFICATION FOR NOT DIVIDING THE ABOVE-THE-THRESHOLD PUBLIC CONTRACT INTO PARTS**

- 5.1 The contracting authority did not divide the public contract into parts, as it was procuring the installation of toll gates with a maximum of 2 types of identical technical parameters, which the contracting authority will connect to a unified monitoring system as part of the implementation of follow-up work.
- 5.2 If the public contract was divided into parts thus enabling the offer of potentially incompatible types of camera systems by different suppliers, the contracting authority would not have a guarantee that the required parameters, control and service of cameras would be different and camera systems uniform, which would result in disproportionate costs and other increased operating costs.

Prague, dated 16 November 2020

---

Ing. Jan Paroubek  
in charge of state enterprise management